

From: ["Saric, James" </O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE:GROUP \(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT\)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=1563015DBEEE49A1AEA479C55929F0D1-JSARIC>](mailto:JSARIC@EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE:GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=1563015DBEEE49A1AEA479C55929F0D1-JSARIC)
To: ["Paul Bucholtz" <bucholtzp@michigan.gov>](mailto:bucholtzp@michigan.gov)
CC:
Date: 8/8/2013 3:47:36 PM
Subject: King Mill

Paul,

I spoke to Becky about the King Mill. Although EPA will have to get moving with the Mill investigation, as per the Agreement on Consent, given our existing budget issues, that is not likely to start until November. Further, more than likely there doesn't appear to be a direct pathway from the lagoons to the River, so the investigation may drop the Mill off the Superfund project. That would turn it over to MDEQ....I think?

Anyhow, wondering if MDEQ may want to take a couple new samples in the lagoons before EPA gets going on the Mill investigation. That way we can get a better idea of what is there. Plus, we need to consider is capping those lagoons with concrete and some type of institutional control a suitable alternative for the material remaining in the lagoons. Obviously, that depends upon several things, one of which is the concentration of the materials in the lagoons. That's why taking a few samples might make sense.

Finally, we may need to have a call with you, me, Becky and Daria to discuss this to possibly expedite the process if we both think this will ultimately end up with MDEQ.

Jim